

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNIZED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Algrandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/940,026	08/27/2001	Lane W. Lee	M-12041 US	4898	
75	7590 08/18/2006			EXAMINER	
Theodore P Lopez MACPHERSON KWOK CHEN & HEID LLP 1762 Technology Drive Suite 226 San Jose, CA 95110			ABRISHAMK	ABRISHAMKAR, KAVEH	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2131		
			DATE MAILED: 08/18/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Community	09/940,026	LEE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kaveh Abrishamkar	2131					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	idress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirg 17 iii apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Ju</u>	ne 2006						
	action is non-final.						
,	, ————————————————————————————————————						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	· ·						
·	,						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-14,16-18 and 20</u> is/are pending							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-2, 5-14, 16-18, and 20</u> is/are rejected.							
<u> </u>	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No					
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage				
application from the International Bureau	•		J				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	ed.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F		O-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Z.o.n. r.ppilozuon (i 1	,				

Application/Control Number: 09/940,026 Page 2

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 2, 2006 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 5-14, 16-18, and 20 are currently being considered.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed June 2, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the following reasons:

Regarding claims 1 and 20, the Applicant argues that the Cited Prior Art (CPA), Hurtado (US 2003/0105718), does not disclose "generating a random number at the media player." Furthermore, the Applicant states that the DRM is controlled by the media player rather than a host. These arguments are not found persuasive. The Applicant points to Page 11: lines 7-8, for support of the media player, which states "Further, pre-recorded locked content on media may be unlocked by a device/player operating in accordance with an embodiment by connecting with a clearinghouse." This is the only support of a media player, which the Examiner does not deem sufficient to

support all the limitations of claims 1 and 20. For example, the Examiner cannot locate a section wherein the media player rather than a host, generates the random number and encrypts the session key as stated in claim 1. Furthermore, in the specification, in paragraph 206, the Applicant states "the player or host be certified following a certification procedure" and that "an engine coupled to the host in block 806 transmits a session key." This paragraph equates the player and the host as having the same role, and further states "delivery of a play session key from the engine to the host, such as a player." Therefore, the Examiner interprets that the engine delivers the session key to a player which is a host. Therefore, the rejection is maintained as the clearinghouse delivers a session key to a host/player (paragraph 181).

Therefore, the Examiner based on the claim limitations, the clearinghouse is seen as the device delivering the session key to a player/host. Therefore, the rejection is respectfully maintained as given below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1-2, 5-14, 16-18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. More specifically, currently amended claims 1 and 20, disclose a "media player" that communicates with a host,

Application/Control Number: 09/940,026 Page 4

Art Unit: 2131

and that generates, encrypts and transmits a session key. The Examiner could not find any support for a media player performing all these functions. Furthermore, in the specification, in paragraph 206, the Applicant states "the player or host be certified following a certification procedure" and that "an engine coupled to the host in block 806 transmits a session key." This paragraph equates the player and the host as having the same role, and further states "delivery of a play session key from the engine to the host, such as a player." Therefore, the Examiner interprets that the engine delivers the session key to a player which is a host. Therefore, the rejection is based on the interpretation that the clearinghouse delivers a session key to a host/player (paragraph 181).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 5-14, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hurtado et al. (U.S. Publication No. 2003/0105718) in view of Liu et al. (U.S. Patent 6,760,752).

Regarding claim 1. Hurtado discloses:

Art Unit: 2131

A method of authenticating a host to receive content from a storage engine, the method comprising:

receiving at the media player a certificate from the host, the certificate including a plurality of fields, including a field holding a digital signature from a certifying authority (Figures 1 – 6, paragraphs 205 – 213);

verifying the digital signatures in the certificate, the verifying including at least one of:

verifying the certifying authority digital signature using the certifying authority public key (Figures 1 – 6, paragraphs 205 – 209); and

verifying a host digital signature using a device public key (Figure 1 - 6, paragraphs 303 - 324); and

receiving validation data from a source, the validation data identifying one or more data in the certificate as valid or invalid according to predetermined criteria (Figures 1 – 6, paragraph 181, paragraph 185, paragraphs 206 – 215); and

if the digital signatures are verified and validated, generating a random number to form a session key at the media player and encrypting the session key with a public key extracted from the certificate to form an encrypted session key and transmitting the encrypted session key to the host (Figures 1 – 6, paragraph 18, paragraph 181, paragraph 185, paragraphs 206 – 215);

at the host, receiving an encrypted content key from the storage engine (paragraph 18, , paragraph 181, paragraph 185, paragraphs 206 – 215), wherein the decrypting key in the secure container is used to decrypt the content; and

Art Unit: 2131

181).

decrypting the encrypted content key using the session key to recover the content key (paragraph 18), wherein the end user key is used to decrypt the secure container containing the decrypting key (content key) used to decrypt the content; at the media player, retrieving encrypted content from a media (paragraph 181); transmitting the encrypted content to the host (paragraph 181); and at the host, decrypting the encrypted content using the content key (paragraph

Hurtado does not explicitly disclose "if the digital signatures are verified and validated, generating a random number at the media player and encrypting the random number with a public key extracted from the certificate to form a session key and transmitting the session key to the host." Liu discloses a secure transmission system wherein the encrypting step can include "generating a random number, encrypting the message using the random number as a session key in a symmetric key encryption algorithm and encrypting the session key using a public key encryption algorithm and the public key of the recipient" (column 2 lines 33-37). Hurtado and Liu are analogous arts as both disclose a method of sending a secure message by encrypting the message (secure container) with a session key (end user encrypting key). Hurtado discloses that a secure container containing a decrypting key (content key) is encrypted with a end user key (session key) and transmitted to the recipient. Liu extends this idea by establishing how the session key is formed (by generating a random number) and encrypted (by a public key). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the method of forming the session key (by a

random number) and encrypting it using a public key, in order to "ensure the integrity of information sent over the Internet" (column 1 lines 30-33) as stated by Liu.

Claim 2 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Hurtado discloses:

The method of claim 1 wherein the source is one of a portable medium and firmware (Figure 1 – 6, paragraph 181, paragraph 185, paragraphs 206 – 215).

Claim 5 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Hurtado discloses:

The method of claim 1 wherein the certifying of the host includes certifying a second host for a host to second host secure communication channel, certifying allowing a copy function between the host and the second host (paragraph 246 – 249).

Claim 6 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Hurtado discloses:

The method of claim 1 wherein the data in the certificate specifies one or more of a product category, a product line, a model, a revision and a serial number of the host (paragraph 457).

Claim 8 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Hurtado discloses:

Art Unit: 2131

The method of claim 1 wherein the certificate includes one or more of a certifying authority identifier field, a version field, a sign key identifier field, an exposed methods field, a company field, a model identifier field, a revision field, a metadata identifier field, a device digital signature key field, a certifying authority digital signature field, a serial number field, a protocol public key field and a device digital signature field, wherein the certifying authority digital signature verifies one or more of the fields in the certificate and the host digital signature verifies one or more of the fields in the certificate (paragraph 229, 251, 293).

Page 8

Claim 9 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Hurtado discloses:

The method of claim 1 wherein the certificate enables an entity receiving the certificate to control the quality of the host by invalidating devices that are false or have latent defects (Figures 6 – 10, paragraph 457).

Claim 13 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Hurtado discloses:

The method of claim 1 wherein the certificate specifies one or more certificate classes, the certificate classes providing a set of methods that may be exposed after the transmitting the session key (paragraphs 880 – 884).

Art Unit: 2131

Claim 16 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Hurtado discloses:

The method of claim 1 wherein each of the fields holds 326-bit values for 163-bit elliptic curve cryptography (paragraph 52, paragraphs 193-197, paragraphs 248-256).

Claim 17 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Hurtado discloses:

The method of claim 1 wherein the certifying authority public key is referenced by a field of the certificate (pages 18 - 23).

Claim 18 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Hurtado discloses:

The method of claim 1 wherein the certifying authority public key is in a firmware component (Figures 1 – 6, paragraph 181, paragraph 185, paragraphs 206 – 215).

Claim 7 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 6. Furthermore, Hurtado discloses:

The method of claim 6 wherein the source validation data is compared with the data in the certificate to identify as invalid one or more of the product category, the product line, the model, the revision and the serial number of the host (paragraphs 462 - 463).

Art Unit: 2131

Claim 10 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 6. Furthermore, Hurtado discloses:

The method of claim 6 wherein the certificate further includes fields provided by a host manufacturer, including the company public key, wherein the company public key is digitally signed by the certifying authority (pages 18 – 23).

Claim 11 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 6. Furthermore, Hurtado discloses:

The method of claim 6 wherein the certificate further includes fields provided by a host manufacturer, the fields including the device public key, wherein the host public key is digitally signed by the company (pages 18 - 23).

Claim 12 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 6. Furthermore, Hurtado discloses:

The method of claim 6 wherein one or more of the product category, the product line, the model, the revision and the serial number of the host are provided to a certificate creator after the host passes a qualification procedure (paragraph 457).

Claim 14 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 13. Furthermore, Hurtado discloses:

The method of claim 13 wherein the set of methods includes digital rights management (DRM) methods include one or more of a copy method, a record method,

Art Unit: 2131

a play method, a read secure metadata method, a write secure metadata method, and an unlock method, the DRM methods operable according to a type of the host (paragraph 10).

Regarding claim 20, Hurtado discloses:

A media player configured to certify a host, the media player comprising:

a firmware component including:

a block configured to receive a certificate from the host, the certificate including a plurality of fields, including a field holding a protocol public key (Figures 1-6, paragraphs 205-213);

a block configured to verify one or more digital signatures in the certificate including at least one of:

a certifying authority digital signature using a certifying authority public key (Figures 1 – 6, paragraphs 205 – 209); and

a device digital signature using a device public key in the certificate (Figure 1 – 6, paragraphs 303 – 324); and

a block configured to receive validation data from a source, the validation data identifying one or more data in the certificate as valid or invalid according to predetermined criteria (Figures 1 – 6, paragraph 181, paragraph 185, paragraphs 206 – 215);

a block configured to generate a random number and transmit the random number to the host if the digital signatures are verified and validated (Figures 1 – 6, paragraph 18, paragraph 181, paragraph 185, paragraphs 206 – 215); and

a block to transmit an encrypted content key to the host, wherein the host enabled to recover a content key from the encrypted content key by using the random number (Figures 1 – 6, paragraph 18, paragraph 181, paragraph 185, paragraphs 206 – 215).

the media player being operable to retrieve encrypted content from a media and provide the encrypted content to the host such that the host is enabled to decrypt the encrypted content using the content key (paragraph 181), wherein a decryption key is sent from the Clearinghouse to the end-device to decrypt the content key so that the end-device can decrypt the content.

Hurtado does not explicitly disclose "a block configured to generate a random number and transmit a random number to the host if digital signatures are verified and validated." Liu discloses a secure transmission system wherein the encrypting step can include "generating a random number, encrypting the message using the random number as a session key in a symmetric key encryption algorithm and encrypting the session key using a public key encryption algorithm and the public key of the recipient" (column 2 lines 33-37). Hurtado and Liu are analogous arts as both disclose a method of sending a secure message by encrypting the message (secure container) with a session key (end user encrypting key). Hurtado discloses that a secure container containing a decrypting key (content key) is encrypted with a end user key (session key)

Application/Control Number: 09/940,026 Page 13

Art Unit: 2131

and transmitted to the recipient. Liu extends this idea by establishing how the session key is formed (by generating a random number) and encrypted (by a public key). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the method of forming the session key (by a random number) and encrypting it using a public key, in order to "ensure the integrity of information sent over the Internet" (column 1 lines 30-33) as stated by Liu.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaveh Abrishamkar whose telephone number is 571-272-3786. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2131

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KA 08/14/2006

CHRISTOPHER REVAK PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 14

D 8/16/06